

S

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,770	01/16/2002	Minoru Higuchi	NEC A326	7860	
75	90 04/23/2003				
Norman P. Soloway HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY, GROSSMAN & HAGE, P.C. 175 Canal Street			EXAMINER		
			DUONG, THOI V		
Manchester, NH 03101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					4		
		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/050,770		HIGUCHI, MINORU	HIGUCHI, MINORU		
	Office Acti n Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thoi V Duong		2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet w	rith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by started preceived by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, h reply within the statutory riod will apply and will ex atute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a minimum of thi pire SIX (6) MO on to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	16 January 2002 .					
2a)□		This action is no					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und				rits is		
·	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consi	deration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
. —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requ	iirement.				
	ion Papers						
· —	The drawing(a) filed on in in (ass. a)			the Everniner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and are applicant may not request that any objection to		-				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			didapprovod by the Examinor.			
12)[7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
• —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority unde	r 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
•		e.g pe, aa.		3 (.)			
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been r	eceived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	oriority documents Bureau (PCT Ru	s have been tle 17.2(a)).	n received in this National Stage	•		
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	ication).		
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•					
Attachmer		, ,					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No() 5)	Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/050,770

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 4, 6, 11, 13, 18 and 20 recite the limitation "on one of upper and lower substrates" in lines 3 and 4 of each claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act .

of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12, 14-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okada et al. (USPN 6,549,261 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,770 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

As shown in Fig. 2, Okada discloses a liquid crystal display device 200 comprising:

- (a) a liquid crystal display unit emitting lights externally; and
- (b) a display filter 70 arranged in alignment with a screen of said liquid crystal display unit, said display filter having a function of absorbing visible rays having a wavelength other than wavelengths of red, green and blue lights (col. 4, lines 16-29 and col. 8, lines 22-29),

With respect to claims 2, 9 and 16, Okada discloses that the display filter is a color glass filter containing pigment as a light absorber, said light absorber absorbing visible rays having a wavelength other than wavelengths of red, green and blue lights, and may replace a transparent substrate 50 at the observation side (col. 8, lines 22-52).

With respect to claims 3, 5, 10, 12, 17 and 19, Okada discloses that the display filter is a transparent film which contains pigment as a light absorber, said light absorber absorbing visible rays having a wavelength other than wavelengths of red, green and blue lights, and adheres to a transparent substrate 50, said transparent substrate being positioned in alignment with said screen (col. 8, lines 22-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,770

Art Unit: 2871

6. Claims 4, 6, 11, 13, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al. (USPN 6,549,261 B1) as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12, 14-17 and 19 above in view of Hiramoto et al. (USPN 5,847,783).

As shown in Fig. 2, Okada discloses a liquid crystal display (LCD) device that is basically the same as that recited in claims 4, 6, 11, 13, 18 and 20 except for an adhesive layer mixed with a light absorber formed on the lower surface of the transparent film 70. Hiramoto discloses a LCD device wherein a pigment is mixed and dispersed in an adhesive, which transmits light, for obtaining a desired display color (col. 3, lines 55-65 and col. 4, line 66 through col. 5, line 4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the LCD device of Okada with the teaching of Hiramoto by having a transparent film adhered to the screen or to the transparent substrate through an adhesive layer mixed with a light absorber formed on the lower surface of the transparent film so as to obtain a desired display color.

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3171. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3492.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,770

Art Unit: 2871

Thoi Duong Jub

04/18/2003

T-Chandhuy Primmy France